

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/998,664	HOEGEMEYER, THOMAS C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David T. Fox	1638	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 041

(1) David T. Fox.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert J. Jondle.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
8

Prior art documents discussed:
of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Appl rep confirmed that no rule 132 declaration had been filed, contrary to allusion on page 5 of amendment of 9/8/2004. Exmr indicated that given the amendments of 9/8/2004, and an additional examiner's amendment to claim 8, such a declaration would not be required to overcome the art rejections. Appl rep approved exmr amendment..